

The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for Hamilton cycles and C_{4k} -factors *

Hongchuan Lei[†] Hung-Lin Fu[‡] Hao Shen[§]

^{†§}*Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University*

[‡]*Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao Tung University*

Abstract

In this paper we give a complete solution to the Hamilton-Waterloo problem for the case of Hamilton cycles and C_{4k} -factors for all positive integers k .

Keywords: 2-factorization; Hamilton-Waterloo problem; Hamilton cycle; cycle decompositions

1 Introduction

The Hamilton-Waterloo problem is a generalization of the well known Oberwolfach problem, which asks for a 2-factorization of the complete graph K_n in which r of its 2-factors are isomorphic to a given 2-factor R and s of its 2-factors are isomorphic to a given 2-factor S with $2(r + s) = n - 1$. The most interesting case of the Hamilton-Waterloo problem is that R consists of cycles of length m and S consists of cycles of length k , such a 2-factorization of K_n is called uniform and denoted by $HW(n; r, s; m, k)$. The corresponding Hamilton-Waterloo problem is the problem for the existence of an $HW(n; r, s; m, k)$.

There exists no 2-factorization of K_n when n is even since the degree of each vertex is odd. In this case, we consider the 2-factorizations of $K_n - I_n$ (where I_n is a 1-factor of K_n) instead. The corresponding 2-factorization is also denoted by $HW(n; r, s; m, k)$. Obviously $2(r + s) = n - 2$.

*Research supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 10771137

[†]E-mail: chuan@sjtu.edu.cn

[‡]E-mail: hlfu@math.nctu.edu.tw

[§]Corresponding author. E-mail: haoshen@sjtu.edu.cn

It is easy to see that the following conditions are necessary for the existence of an $HW(n; r, s; m, k)$:

Lemma 1.1. If there exists an $HW(n; r, s; m, k)$, then

$$n \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \text{ when } s = 0;$$

$$n \equiv 0 \pmod{k} \text{ when } r = 0;$$

$$n \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \text{ and } n \equiv 0 \pmod{k} \text{ when } r \neq 0 \text{ and } s \neq 0;$$

The Hamilton-Waterloo problem attracts much attention and progress has been made by several authors. Adams, Billington, Bryant and El-Zanati [1] deal with the case $(m, k) \in \{(3, 5), (3, 15), (5, 15)\}$. Danziger, Quattrocchi and Stevens [3] give an almost complete solution for the case $(m, k) = (3, 4)$, which is stated below:

Theorem 1.2. [3] An $HW(n; r, s; 3, 4)$ exists if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ and $(n, s) \neq (12, 0)$ with the following possible exceptions:

$$n = 24 \text{ and } s = 2, 4, 6;$$

$$n = 48 \text{ and } s = 6, 8, 10, 14, 16, 18.$$

The case $(m, k) = (n, 3)$, i.e. Hamilton cycles and triangle-factors, is studied by Horak, Nedela and Rosa [8], Dinitz and Ling [4, 5] and the following partial result obtained:

Theorem 1.3. [4, 5, 8]

(a) If $n \equiv 3 \pmod{18}$, then an $HW(n; r, s; n, 3)$ exists except possibly when $n = 93, 111, 129, 183, 201$ and $r = 1$;

(b) If $n \equiv 9 \pmod{18}$, then an $HW(n; r, s; n, 3)$ exists except $n = 9$ and $r = 1$, except possibly when $n = 153, 207$ and $r = 1$;

(c) If $n \equiv 15 \pmod{18}$ and $r \in \{1, \frac{(n+3)}{6}, \frac{(n+3)}{6} + 2, \frac{(n+3)}{6} + 3, \dots, \frac{(n-1)}{2}\}$, then an $HW(n; r, s; n, 3)$ exists except possibly when $n = 123, 141, 159, 177, 213, 249$ and $r = 1$.

For $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, the problem for the existence of an $HW(n; r, s; n, 3)$ is still open.

The cases $(m, k) \in \{(t, 2t) | t > 4\}$ and $(m, k) \in \{(4, 2t) | t > 3\}$ have been completely solved by Fu and Huang [6].

Theorem 1.4. [6]

(a) Suppose $t \geq 4$, an $HW(n; r, s; t, 2t)$ exists if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2t}$.

(b) For an integer $t \geq 3$, an $HW(n; r, s; 4, 2t)$ exists if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2t}$.

For $r = 0$ or $s = 0$, the Hamilton-Waterloo problem is in fact the problem for the existence of resolvable cycle decompositions of the complete graph, which has been completely solved by Govzdzak [7].

Theorem 1.5. [7] There exists a resolvable m -cycle decomposition of K_n (or $K_n - I$ when n is even) if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, $(n, m) \neq (6, 3)$ and $(n, m) \neq (12, 3)$.

The purpose of this paper is to give a complete solution to the Hamilton-Waterloo problem for the case of Hamilton cycles and C_{4k} -factors which is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6. For given positive integer k , an $HW(n; r, s; n, 4k)$ exists if and only if $r + s = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4k}$ if $s > 0$ or $n \geq 3$ if $s = 0$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we provide some basic constructions.

For convenience, we introduce the following notations first. A C_m -factor of K_n is a spanning subgraph of K_n in which each component is a cycle of length m . Let $r + s = \lfloor (n - 1)/2 \rfloor$ and

$$HW^*(n; m, k) = \{r \mid \text{an } HW(n; r, s; m, k) \text{ exists}\}.$$

We use HC to represent Hamilton cycle for short.

By Lemma 1.1, the necessary condition for the existence of $HW(n; r, s; n, 4k)$ with $s > 0$ is $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4k}$, we assume $n = 4kt$ and the vertex set of K_n is $Z_{2t} \times Z_{2k}$. We write $V_i = \{i\} \times Z_{2k} = \{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{2k-1}\}$ for $i \in Z_{2t}$. Let K_{V_i, V_j} be the complete bipartite graph define on two partite sets V_i and V_j , and K_{V_i} be the complete graph of order $2k$ define on the vertex set V_i . Obviously,

$$E(K_{4kt}) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{2t-1} E(K_{V_i}) \cup \bigcup_{i \neq j} E(K_{V_i, V_j}).$$

Further for $d \in Z_{2k}$, we define sets of edges $(i, j)_d = \{(i, j_l + d) \mid l \in Z_{2k}\}$ for $i, j \in Z_{2t}$. Clearly, $(i, j)_d$ is a perfect matching in K_{V_i, V_j} . In fact,

$$E(K_{V_i, V_j}) = \bigcup_{d=0}^{2k-1} (i, j)_d.$$

The following lemmas are useful in our constructions.

Lemma 2.1. [6] Let $I_{2n} = \{(v_0 v_n)\} \cup \{(v_i v_{2n-i}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$. Then $K_{2n} - I_{2n}$ can be decomposed into $n-1$ HCs, Each HC can be decomposed into two 1-factors. Moreover, by reordering the vertices of K_{2n} if necessary, we may assume one of the HCs is $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{2n-1})$.

The following lemma is a generalization of Lemma 1 in [8].

Lemma 2.2. Let π be a permutation of Z_{2t} , $d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{2t-1}$ be non-negative integers. Then the set of edges

$$(\pi(0), \pi(1))_{d_0} \cup (\pi(1), \pi(2))_{d_1} \cup \dots \cup (\pi(2t-1), \pi(0))_{d_{2t-1}}$$

forms an HC of K_n if $d_0 + d_1 + \dots + d_{2t-1}$ and $2k$ are relatively prime.

Proof. Set $d = d_0 + d_1 + \dots + d_{2t-1}$, then arrange the edges as

$$H = (\pi(0)_0, \pi(1)_{d_0}, \pi(2)_{d_0+d_1}, \dots, \pi(0)_d, \pi(1)_{d+d_0}, \dots, \pi(2t-1)_{2kd-d_{2t-1}}).$$

Since $(d, 2k) = 1$, the vertices

$$\pi(i)_{d_0+d_1+\dots+d_{i-1}}, \pi(i)_{d+d_0+d_1+\dots+d_{i-1}}, \dots, \pi(i)_{(2k-1)d+d_0+d_1+\dots+d_{i-1}}$$

are mutually distinct for $i \in Z_{2t}$. Thus all vertices in H are mutually distinct, so H is an HC. \square

Lemma 2.3. Let d_1, d_2 be nonnegative integers. If $d_1 - d_2$ and $2k$ are relatively prime, then the set of edges $(i, j)_{d_1} \cup (i, j)_{d_2}$ forms a cycle of length $4k$ on the vertex set $V_i \cup V_j$.

Proof. It's a direct consequence of Lemma 2.2. Arranging the edges as a cycle $(i_0, j_{d_1}, i_{d_1-d_2}, j_{2d_1-d_2}, \dots, j_{2kd_1-(2k-1)d_2})$ completes the proof. \square

3 Proof of the main theorem

With the above preparations, now we are ready to prove our main theorem.

Let \tilde{G} be a complete graph defined on $\{V_0, V_1, \dots, V_{2t-1}\}$. By Lemma 2.1, \tilde{G} can be decomposed into $2t-1$ 1-factors, denoted by $\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2, \dots, \tilde{F}_{2t-1}$, and $\tilde{F}_{2i-1} \cup \tilde{F}_{2i}$ forms an HC for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$. By reordering the vertices if necessary, we may assume

$$\tilde{F}_1 = \{V_0V_1, V_2, V_3, \dots, V_{2t-2}V_{2t-1}\},$$

$$\tilde{F}_2 = \{V_1V_2, V_3V_4, \dots, V_{2t-1}V_0\},$$

$$\tilde{F}_{2t-1} = \{V_0V_t\} \cup \{V_iV_{2t-i} | i = 1, 2, \dots, t-1\}.$$

Let

$$F_x = \bigcup_{V_iV_j \in E(\tilde{F}_x)} E(K_{V_i, V_j}) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{Z}_{2t} \setminus \{0\}$$

and

$$H_l = (0, 1)_l \cup (1, 2)_{2k-l} \cup (2, 3)_l \cup \dots \cup (2t-1, 0)_{2k-l} \text{ for } l \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}.$$

Then $F_1 \cup F_2 = H_0 \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_{2k-1}$.

Lemma 3.1. $F_{2i-1} \cup F_{2i}$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$) can be decomposed into $r_i \in \{0, 2, \dots, 2k\}$ HCs and $2k - r_i$ C_{4k} -factors of K_n .

Proof. We only give the proof for the case $i = 1$, i.e. $F_1 \cup F_2$, the remaining cases are similar.

For $l = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, $H_{2l} \cup H_{2l+1}$ can be decomposed into two edge sets:

$$\bigcup_{j=0}^{t-1} ((2j, 2j+1)_{2l} \cup (2j, 2j+1)_{2l+1}),$$

$$\bigcup_{j=0}^{t-1} ((2j+1, 2j+2)_{2k-2l} \cup (2j+1, 2j+2)_{2k-2l-1}),$$

by Lemma 2.3, each forms a C_{4k} -factor of K_n .

Similarly, $H_{2l} \cup H_{2l+1}$ can be decomposed into another two edge sets:

$$(H_{2l} - (2t-1, 0)_{2k-2l}) \cup (2t-1, 0)_{2k-2l-1},$$

$$(H_{2l+1} - (2t-1, 0)_{2k-2l-1}) \cup (2t-1, 0)_{2k-2l},$$

by Lemma 2.2, each forms an HC of K_n .

Finally, by decomposing $H_{2l} \cup H_{2l+1}$ into two HCs when $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{r_i}{2} - 1\}$ or into two C_{4k} -factors when $l \in \{\frac{r_i}{2}, \frac{r_i}{2} + 1, \dots, k - 1\}$, we have the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. For each $i \in Z_{2t} \setminus \{0\}$, $F_i \cup (\bigcup_{i \in Z_{2t}} K_{V_i})$ can be decomposed into $2k - 1$ C_{4k} -factors and a 1-factor of K_n .

Proof. Noticing that $F_i \cup (\bigcup_{i \in Z_{2t}} K_{V_i}) = tK_{4k}$ and these complete graphs of order $4k$ are edge-disjoint. By Lemma 2.1, each can be decomposed into $2k - 1$ HCs and one 1-factor of K_{4k} . Hence, these HCs and 1-factors form $2k - 1$ C_{4k} -factors and a 1-factor of K_n . This concludes the proof. \square

For convenience in presentation, we use X to denote $\bigcup_{i \in Z_{2t}} K_{V_i}$ in what follows.

Proposition 3.3. $\{0, 2, 4, \dots, \frac{n}{2} - 2k\} \subseteq HW^*(n; n, 4k)$ for all positive integers $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4k}$.

Proof. Since $K_n = F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots \cup F_{2t-1} \cup X$, applying Lemma 3.2 to $F_{2t-1} \cup X$ and Lemma 3.1 to $F_{2i} \cup F_{2i-1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq t - 1$) completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.4. $\{1, 3, 5, \dots, \frac{n}{2} - 4k + 1\} \subseteq HW^*(n; n, 4k)$ for all positive integers $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4k}$.

Proof. First, by Lemma 3.2, we decompose $F_2 \cup X$ into $2k - 1$ C_{4k} -factors and a 1-factor. Without loss of generality, assume the 1-factor is $I'_n = (1, 2)_0 \cup (3, 4)_0 \cup \dots \cup (2t - 1, 0)_0$.

Since $E(F_1) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{2k-1} ((0, 1)_i \cup (2, 3)_i \dots (2t - 2, 2t - 1)_i)$, we decompose $E(F_1) \cup I'_n$ into $k - 1$ C_{4k} -factors, an HC and a 1-factor:

$$C_i = ((0, 1)_{2i-1} \cup (0, 1)_{2i}) \cup ((2, 3)_{2i-1} \cup (2, 3)_{2i}) \cup \dots \cup ((2t - 2, 2t - 1)_{2i-1} \cup (2t - 2, 2t - 1)_{2i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1,$$

$$HC_1 = (0, 1)_{2k-1} \cup (1, 2)_0 \cup (2, 3)_0 \cup \dots \cup (2t - 2, 2t - 1)_0,$$

$$I_n = (0, 1)_0 \cup (2, 3)_{2k-1} \cup (4, 5)_{2k-1} \dots \cup (2t - 2, 2t - 1)_{2k-1}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that C_i is a C_{4k} -factor, HC_1 is an HC, I_n is a 1-factor and they are edge-disjoint.

Finally, applying Lemma 3.1 to $F_{2i-1} \cup F_{2i}$ ($2 \leq i \leq t - 1$) gives $\{1, 3, 5, \dots, \frac{n}{2} - 4k + 1\} \subseteq HW^*(n; n, 4k)$. \square

Lemma 3.5. If $r_1 \in \{2k, 2k + 1, 2k + 2, \dots, 4k - 1\}$, then $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_{2t-1} \cup X$ can be decomposed into r_1 HCs, $4k - 1 - r_1$ C_{4k} -factors and a 1-factor of K_n .

Proof. It is well known that every complete graph with even order can be decomposed into Hamilton paths[2]. Noticing that

$$F_{2t-1} \cup X = \{K_{V_0 \cup V_i}\} \cup \{K_{V_i \cup V_{2t-i}} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, t - 1\} = tK_{4k}$$

and these complete graphs of order $4k$ have no common vertex. Let $P_{i,j}[u \dots v]$ be the Hamilton path of $K_{V_i \cup V_j}$ with u and v as its end vertices. We may

decompose $F_{2t-1} \cup X$ into $\{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{2k-1}\}$ where

$$P_j = \{P_{0,t}[0_j, \dots, t_j]\} \cup \{P_{i,2t-i}[i_j, \dots, (2t-i)_j] \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, t-1\}.$$

For each j , connecting the Hamilton paths of P_j with t edges $(0_j 1_j), (2_j 3_j), \dots, ((2t-2)_j (2t-1)_j) \in (0, 1)_0 \cup (2, 3)_0 \cup \dots \cup (2t-2, 2t-1)_0 \subseteq H_0$ which gives an HC . Then we have $2k$ Hamilton cycles $HC_j, j \in Z_{2k}$, when t is odd,

$$HC_j = (0_j, 1_j, P_{1,2t-1}[1_j, \dots, (2t-1)_j], (2t-1)_j, (2t-2)_j, P_{2t-2,2}[(2t-2)_j, \dots, 2_j], \dots, (t-1)_j, t_j, P_{t,0}[t_j, \dots, 0_j]);$$

when t is even,

$$HC_j = (0_j, 1_j, P_{1,2t-1}[1_j, \dots, (2t-1)_j], (2t-1)_j, (2t-2)_j, P_{2t-2,2}[(2t-2)_j, \dots, 2_j], \dots, (t+1)_j, t_j, P_{t,0}[t_j, \dots, 0_j]).$$

Then we can decompose $H_1 \cup (H_0 - (0, 1)_0 \cup (2, 3)_0 \cup \dots \cup (2t-2, 2t-1)_0)$ into an HC and a 1-factor, or a C_{4k} -factor and a 1-factor. In the first case, let

$$HC_{2k} = H_1 \cup (2t-1, 0)_0 - (2t-1, 0)_{2k-1},$$

$$I_n = (1, 2)_0 \cup (3, 4)_0 \cup \dots \cup (2t-3, 2t-2)_0 \cup (2t-1, 0)_{2k-1}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, HC_{2k} forms an HC . I_n is a 1-factor. In the second case, let

$$C = \bigcup_{j=0}^{t-1} \{(2j+1, 2j+2)_0 \cup (2j+1, 2j+2)_{2k-1}\},$$

$$I'_n = (0, 1)_1 \cup (2, 3)_1 \cup \dots \cup (2t-2, 2t-1)_1.$$

By Lemma 2.3, C is a C_{4k} -factor and I'_n is a 1-factor.

Finally, in the same way as Lemma 3.1, for each $r_1 \in \{2k, 2k+2, 2k+4, \dots, 4k-2\}$, we decompose each $H_{2l} \cup H_{2l+1}$ into two HC s for $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{r_1}{2}\}$ or two C_{4k} -factors for $l \in \{\frac{r_1}{2}+1, \frac{r_1}{2}+2, \dots, k-1\}$. Then we have the proof. \square

Proposition 3.6. $\{2k, 2k+1, 2k+2, \dots, \frac{n-2}{2}\} \subseteq HW^*(n; n, 4k)$ for all positive integers $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4k}$.

Proof. Let $r = p \cdot 2k + q$, where $0 \leq q < 2k$. If $2k \leq r \leq 2kt - 2k$ and q is even, by Lemma 3.5, we may decompose $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_{2t-1} \cup X$ into $2k$ HC s, $2k-1$ C_{4k} -factors and a 1-factor. By Lemma 3.1, we may decompose $F_{2i-1} \cup F_{2i}$ into $2k$ HC s for each $2 \leq i \leq p$, $F_{2p+1} \cup F_{2p+2}$ into q HC s and $2k-q$ C_{4k} -factors, and $F_{2j-1} \cup F_{2j}$ into $2k$ C_{4k} -factors for each $p+2 \leq j \leq t-1$. Then we have

$$\{2k, 2k+2, \dots, 2kt-2k\} \subseteq HW^*(n; n, 4k).$$

If $2k \leq r \leq 2kt - 2k$ and q is odd, by Lemma 3.5, we may decompose $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_{2t-1} \cup X$ into $2k+1$ HC s, $2k-2$ C_{4k} -factors and a 1-factor. By

Lemma 3.1, we may decompose $F_{2i-1} \cup F_{2i}$ into $2k$ HCs for each $2 \leq i \leq p$, $F_{2p+1} \cup F_{2p+2}$ into $q-1$ HCs and $2k-q+1$ C_{4k} -factors, and $F_{2j-1} \cup F_{2j}$ into $2k$ C_{4k} -factors for each $p+2 \leq j \leq t-1$. Then we have

$$\{2k+1, 2k+3, \dots, 2kt-2k-1\} \in HW^*(n; n, 4k).$$

If $2kt-2k < r \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$ and q is even, by Lemma 3.5, we may decompose $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_{2t-1} \cup X$ into $4k-2$ HCs, a C_{4k} -factor and a 1-factor. When $q+2 < 2k$, by Lemma 3.1, we may decompose $F_{2i-1} \cup F_{2i}$ into $2k$ HCs for each $2 \leq i \leq p-1$, $F_{2p-1} \cup F_{2p}$ into $q+2$ HCs and $2k-q-2$ C_{4k} -factors, and $F_{2j-1} \cup F_{2j}$ into $2k$ C_{4k} -factors for each $p+1 \leq j \leq t-1$; when $q+2 = 2k$, we decompose $F_{2i-1} \cup F_{2i}$ into $2k$ HCs for each $2 \leq i \leq p$ and $F_{2j-1} \cup F_{2j}$ into $2k$ C_{4k} -factors for each $p+1 \leq j \leq t-1$. Then we have

$$\{2kt-2k+2, 2kt-2k+4, \dots, 2kt-2\} \in HW^*(n; n, 4k).$$

If $2kt-2k < r \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$ and q is odd, by Lemma 3.5, we may decompose $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_{2t-1} \cup X$ into $4k-1$ HCs and a 1-factor. When $q+1 = 2k$, by Lemma 3.1, we may decompose each $F_{2i-1} \cup F_{2i}$ into $2k$ HCs for each $2 \leq i \leq p$ and $F_{2j-1} \cup F_{2j}$ into $2k$ C_{4k} -factors for each $p+1 \leq j \leq t-1$; when $q+1 \neq 2k$, we decompose $F_{2i-1} \cup F_{2i}$ into $2k$ HCs for each $2 \leq i \leq p-1$, $F_{2p-1} \cup F_{2p}$ into $q+1$ HCs and $2k-q-1$ C_{4k} -factors, and $F_{2j-1} \cup F_{2j}$ into $2k$ C_{4k} -factors for each $p+1 \leq j \leq t-1$. Then we have

$$\{2kt-2k+1, 2kt-2k+3, \dots, 2kt-1\} \in HW^*(n; n, 4k). \square$$

Combining Proposition 3.3, Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.6, we have the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.7. $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-2}{2}\} = HW^*(n; n, 4k)$ for all positive integers $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4k}$.

Proof. For $n = 4k$, the theorem is obvious by Theorem 1.5. For $n = 8k$, the result is also correct by Theorem 1.4. When $n > 8k$, we have $\frac{n}{2} - 2k > 2k$ and $\frac{n}{2} - 4k + 1 \geq 2k + 1$, then combining with Proposition 3.3, Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.6 completes the proof. \square

4 Concluding remarks

It would be interesting to determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of an $HW(n; r, s; n, k)$ for any even integer k . As a first step, we proved in this paper that for any integer $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ the necessary condition for the existence of $HW(n; r, s; n, k)$ is $n \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, and the necessary condition is also sufficient. The next step is for the case when $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, we conjecture that for $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $s > 0$ there exists an $HW(n; r, s; n, k)$ if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$.

References

- [1] P. Adams, E.J. Billington, D.E. Bryant, S.I. El-Zanati, On the Hamilton-Waterloo problem, Graph Combin. 18 (2002) 31-51.

- [2] C. J. Colbourn, J. H. Dinitz (Editors), The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs. 2nd edn, CRC Press Series on Discrete Mathematics, CRC, Boca Raton, 2007.
- [3] P. Danziger, G. Quattrocchi, B. Stevens, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for cycle sizes 3 and 4, J. Combin. Designs. 17 (2009) 342-352.
- [4] J. H. Dinitz, A. C. H. Ling, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem with triangle-factors and Hamilton cycles: The case $n \equiv 3 \pmod{18}$, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., to appear.
- [5] J.H. Dinitz, A. C. H. Ling, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem: the case of triangle-factors and one Hamilton cycle, J. Combin. Designs. 17 (2009) 160-176.
- [6] H. L. Fu, K. C. Huang, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for two even cycles factors, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics 12 (2008) 933-940.
- [7] P. Govzdzjak, On the Oberwolfach problem for the complete mutigraphs, Discrete Math. 173(1997)61-69.
- [8] P. Horak, R. Nedela, A. Rosa, The Hamilton-Waterloo problem: the case of Hamilton cycles and triangle-factors, Discrete Math. 284 (2004) 181-188.