Differential Equations Qualifying Exam, Feb. 2010

This exam contains 9 problems with a total of 100 points. The first 4 problems are related to ODE and the second 5 problems are related to PDE. Give your arguments as clear as possible.

1. (20 points) Given $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous so that

$$f(0) = 0, \qquad f(x) \neq 0 \text{ for } x \neq 0.$$
 (1)

Consider the IVP (initial value problem)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt}(t) = f(x(t))\\ x(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2)

Note that the equation is **separable** and $x(t) \equiv 0$ is a solution to the initial value problem. Do the following problems.

- (a). (5 points) Show that if x(t) is a solution to the IVP on the interval [0, a] and x(a) = 0, then x(t) = 0 for all $0 \le t \le a$.
- (b). (10 points) Show that the IVP has a **unique** solution (i.e., the only solution is $x(t) \equiv 0$) if and only if the improper integrals

$$\int_{-\varepsilon}^{0} \frac{1}{f(x)} dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{f(x)} dx \tag{3}$$

both diverge for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

(c). (5 points) Assume that f(x) > 0 on $(0, \infty)$ and $\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{f(x)} dx$ exists. Show that the IVP has a nonzero solution x(t) whose maximal time interval of existence on the part t > 0 is a **finite** interval [0,T) with $\lim_{t\to T} x(t) = \infty$, where T is given by $T = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{f(x)} dx$. Moreover, we have x(t) > 0 on (0,T).

Solution:

(a). Assume x(t) is not identically zero on [0, a]. Then there exists some $t_0 \in (0, a)$ such that $x(t_0) > 0$ (we may assume so) and some interval $(\alpha, \beta) \subset (0, a)$ with x(t) > 0 on (α, β) , $x(\alpha) = x(\beta) = 0$. Now we have

$$\beta - \alpha = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} 1dt = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{x'(t)}{f(x(t))} dt = \int_{x(\alpha)}^{x(\beta)} \frac{1}{f(x)} dx = 0$$

which is a contradiction. Hence x(t) must be identically zero on [0, a].

(b). (\Longrightarrow) Assume the solution is unique (hence the only solution is $x(t) \equiv 0$) and $\int_0^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{f(x)} dx$ converges. We shall derive a contradiction (if the other one $\int_{-\varepsilon}^0 \frac{1}{f(x)} dx$ converges, the proof is similar). Define the function

$$F(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{f(s)} ds, \quad F'(x) = \frac{1}{f(x)} \neq 0, \quad x \in (0, \varepsilon).$$

Since the improper integral converges, F(x) is continuous on $[0, \varepsilon]$ with F(0) = 0. Without loss of generality we may assume that F'(x) > 0 on $(0, \varepsilon)$ with $F'(0+) = +\infty$. For each $t \in [0, F(\varepsilon)]$,

there is a unique $x(t) \in [0, \varepsilon]$ such that F(x(t)) = t. By the **inverse function theorem**, such x(t) is differentiable on $t \in (0, \varepsilon)$ with

$$x'(t) = \frac{1}{F'(x(t))} = f(x(t)), \quad x(0) = 0, \quad x(t) > 0 \text{ on } (0,\varepsilon).$$

This gives a contradiction.

(\Leftarrow) On the other hand, assume that both integrals in (3) diverge but the solution is not unique. We shall derive a contradiction. Now we may assume there exists some solution x(t) to the IVP with x(0) = 0, x(t) > 0 on (0, a] for some a > 0. Hence

$$a = \int_0^a \frac{x'(t)}{f(x(t))} dt = \int_0^{x(a)} \frac{1}{f(x)} dx \quad \text{(this is divergent)}$$

and we get a contradiction.

(c). Let $F(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{f(s)} ds$, $x \in (0, \infty)$. Then F(x) is strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$ with F(0) = 0, $F(\infty) = T := \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{f(x)} dx$ (this is a finite positive number). By the **inverse function theorem**, we have

$$\int_{0}^{x(t)} \frac{1}{f(s)} ds = t \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in (0, T) \,.$$

Such x(t) is differentiable on (0,T) with $x'(t) = \frac{1}{f(x(t))} > 0$, x(0) = 0, $\lim_{t \to T} x(t) = \infty$, and x(t) > 0 on (0,T).

2. (10 points) Prove the following general version of **Gronwall inequality** (it will contain most of the other familiar Gronwall inequalities as special cases). This general version is very useful in ODE theory. Let f(t), g(t), $\psi(t)$ be three continuous functions on [a, b]with $\psi(t) \ge 0$ on [a, b]. If

$$f(t) \le g(t) + \int_{a}^{t} \psi(s) f(s) ds \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [a, b]$$

$$\tag{4}$$

then show that

$$f(t) \le g(t) + \int_{a}^{t} \left[g(s)\psi(s)\exp\left(\int_{s}^{t}\psi(u)\,du\right) \right] ds \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [a,b] \,.$$
(5)

In particular, when g(t) = C is a constant, show that the above implies the familiar inequality

$$f(t) \le C \exp\left(\int_{a}^{t} \psi(u) \, du\right) \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [a, b].$$
 (6)

Solution:

By approximation if necessary, we may assume that $\psi(t) > 0$ on [a, b]. Let

$$\Phi(t) = \int_{a}^{t} \psi(s) f(s) ds, \qquad \Phi(a) = 0.$$

Then $\Phi'(t) = \psi(t) f(t)$ and

$$\frac{\Phi'(t)}{\psi(t)} = f(t) \le g(t) + \Phi(t), \quad t \in [a, b].$$

$$\tag{7}$$

Multiply (7) by $\psi(t) \exp\left(-\int_{a}^{t} \psi(u) du\right)$ to get

$$\Phi'(t) \exp\left(-\int_a^t \psi(u) \, du\right) \le \left[g\left(t\right) + \Phi\left(t\right)\right] \psi(t) \exp\left(-\int_a^t \psi(u) \, du\right)$$

which implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[\Phi\left(t\right)\exp\left(-\int_{a}^{t}\psi\left(u\right)du\right)\right] \le g\left(t\right)\psi\left(t\right)\exp\left(-\int_{a}^{t}\psi\left(u\right)du\right), \quad t\in\left[a,b\right].$$
(8)

Integrate both sides of (8) on [a, t] to get (note that $\Phi(a) = 0$)

$$\Phi(t)\exp\left(-\int_{a}^{t}\psi(u)\,du\right) \leq \int_{a}^{t}\left(g(s)\,\psi(s)\exp\left(-\int_{a}^{s}\psi(u)\,du\right)\right)ds$$

and so

$$\Phi\left(t\right) \le \left[\exp\left(\int_{a}^{t} \psi\left(u\right) du\right)\right] \cdot \left[\int_{a}^{t} \left[g\left(s\right) \psi\left(s\right) \exp\left(-\int_{a}^{s} \psi\left(u\right) du\right)\right] ds\right]$$

The above can be written as

$$\Phi(t) \leq \int_{a}^{t} \left[g(s)\psi(s)\exp\left(\int_{a}^{t}\psi(u)\,du - \int_{a}^{s}\psi(u)\,du\right) \right] ds$$
$$= \int_{a}^{t} \left[g(s)\psi(s)\exp\left(\int_{s}^{t}\psi(u)\,du\right) \right] ds.$$

By the assumption

$$f(t) \le g(t) + \Phi(t) \le g(t) + \int_{a}^{t} \left[g(s)\psi(s)\exp\left(\int_{s}^{t}\psi(u)\,du\right) \right] ds$$

the proof of (5) is done.

Next, when g(t) = C is a constant, (5) becomes

$$f(t) \leq C + C \int_{a}^{t} \left[\psi(s) \exp\left(\int_{s}^{t} \psi(u) \, du\right) \right] ds = C - C \int_{a}^{t} \frac{d}{ds} \left[\exp\left(\int_{s}^{t} \psi(u) \, du\right) \right] ds$$
$$= C - C \left[1 - \exp\left(\int_{a}^{t} \psi(u) \, du\right) \right] = C \exp\left(\int_{a}^{t} \psi(u) \, du\right).$$

The proof is done.

3. (10 points) Let $f(\mathbf{x})$ be a smooth vector field on \mathbb{R}^n such that it generates a smooth dynamical system $\varphi_t(\mathbf{x})$ on \mathbb{R}^n (i.e., the map $\mathbb{R}^n \times (-\infty, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ given by $(t, \mathbf{x}) \to \varphi_t(\mathbf{x})$ is smooth). Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set and let

$$D_t = \{\varphi_t(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in D\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Show that for any time t > 0, the volume of D_t is given by

$$vol\left(D_{t}\right) = \int_{D} \exp\left(\int_{0}^{t} \left(div \ f\right)\left(\varphi_{s}\left(\mathbf{x}\right)\right) ds\right) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$(9)$$

where div f denotes the divergence of the vector field f. PS: In doing this problem, you can take the well-known "Liouville theorem" for granted.

Solution:

By change of variables formula for integration, we have

$$vol\left(D_{t}\right) = \int_{D_{t}} 1d\mathbf{x} = \int_{D_{0}} \left|\det J\varphi_{t}\left(\mathbf{x}\right)\right| d\mathbf{x}$$

$$(10)$$

where $J\varphi_t(\mathbf{x})$ is the Jacobi matrix of the flow $\varphi_t : D_0 \to D_t$ (this is a diffeomorphism). For fixed $\mathbf{x} \in D_0$ denote the Jacobi matrix $J\varphi_t(\mathbf{x})$ by J(t). By **variation formula** it satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dJ}{dt}(t) = (Df)(\varphi_t(\mathbf{x}))J(t)\\ J(0) = Id \quad (n \times n \text{ identity matrix}) \end{cases}$$

and by Liouville theorem we have

$$\det J(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t Trace\left[\left(Df\right)\left(\varphi_s\left(\mathbf{x}\right)\right)\right]ds\right)$$

where

$$Trace\left[\left(Df\right)\left(\varphi_{s}\left(\mathbf{x}\right)\right)\right]=\left(div\ f\right)\left(\varphi_{s}\left(\mathbf{x}\right)\right).$$

Hence (10) becomes

$$vol(D_t) = \int_{D_0} |\det J\varphi_t(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} = \int_{D_0} \exp\left(\int_0^t Trace\left[(Df)(\varphi_s(\mathbf{x}))\right] ds\right) d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= \int_D \exp\left(\int_0^t (div f)(\varphi_s(\mathbf{x})) ds\right) d\mathbf{x}.$$

The proof is done.

- 4. (15 points)
 - (a) (5 points) Assume that A is a real $n \times n$ constant matrix. Find a necessary and sufficient condition on A so that for any two solutions $X^{(1)}(t)$, $X^{(2)}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to the first order linear system

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = AX, \quad X = X(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \in [0, \infty)$$
(11)

their inner product $\langle X^{(1)}(t), X^{(2)}(t) \rangle$ is independent of time $t \in [0, \infty)$.

(b) (10 points) Consider the second order scalar ODE

$$x''(t) + x(t) = g(t), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$
 (12)

where g(t) is a given smooth 2π -periodic function on $(-\infty, \infty)$. In general, a solution to (12) may not be 2π -periodic even when g is 2π -periodic (for example $g(t) = \cos t$ and $x(t) = \frac{1}{2}t\sin t$). Show that if g(t) satisfies the condition

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} g(t) \cos t dt = \int_{0}^{2\pi} g(t) \sin t dt = 0$$
(13)

then any solution x(t) to (12) on $(-\infty, \infty)$ is also 2π -periodic.

Solution:

(a). The necessary and sufficient condition on A is $A + A^T = 0$. To see this, compute

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\langle X^{(1)}(t), X^{(2)}(t) \right\rangle = \left\langle AX^{(1)}(t), X^{(2)}(t) \right\rangle + \left\langle X^{(1)}(t), AX^{(2)}(t) \right\rangle = \left\langle \left(A + A^T\right) X^{(1)}(t), X^{(2)}(t) \right\rangle$$
(14)

It is easy to see that (14) is zero for any two solutions $X^{(1)}(t)$, $X^{(2)}(t)$ if and only if $A + A^T = 0$.

(b). Fix $t_0 \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and assume $x(t_0) = x_0$, $x'(t_0) = x'_0$. From ODE theory (using the variation of parameter method), the solution satisfying the initial condition is given by the nice formula

$$x(t) = x_0 \cos(t - t_0) + x'_0 \sin(t - t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t g(s) \sin(t - s) \, ds, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty).$$
(15)

We have

$$\begin{aligned} x\left(t+2\pi\right) &- x\left(t\right) \\ &= -\cos t \cdot \int_{t}^{t+2\pi} g\left(s\right) \sin s ds + \sin t \cdot \int_{t}^{t+2\pi} g\left(s\right) \cos s ds \\ &= -\cos t \cdot \int_{0}^{2\pi} g\left(s\right) \sin s ds + \sin t \cdot \int_{0}^{2\pi} g\left(s\right) \cos s ds \end{aligned}$$

and so if the periodic function g(s) satisfies condition (13), then x(t) is also 2π -periodic on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

5. (5 points) Consider the first order quasilinear equation in two variables (x, y):

$$a(x, y, u) u_x + b(x, y, u) u_y = c(x, y, u), \quad u = u(x, y)$$
(16)

where the given functions a(x, y, z), b(x, y, z) and c(x, y, z) are assumed to be smooth everywhere and assume that we have two smooth integral surfaces (i.e., solution surfaces) represented by the following two smooth solutions

$$S_1: z = u(x, y), \qquad S_2: z = v(x, y),$$

which intersect transversally along a smooth curve $\gamma(t)$ given by

$$x(t) = e^t$$
, $y(t) = \ln(t+5)$, $z(t) = \sin t$, $t \in [0, \infty)$.

If we know that $a(\gamma(t)) = a(x(t), y(t), z(t)) = t^2 + 1$, find $b(\gamma(t))$ and $c(\gamma(t))$.

Solution:

By the theory of first order quasilinear equation, we know that $\gamma'(t)$ is **parallel** to the vector field $(a(\gamma(t)), b(\gamma(t)), c(\gamma(t)))$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. Hence there exists a function $\lambda(t)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda(t) a(x(t), y(t), z(t)) \\ \frac{dy}{dt} = \lambda(t) b(x(t), y(t), z(t)) \\ \frac{dz}{dt} = \lambda(t) c(x(t), y(t), z(t)) \end{cases}$$

for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. By the information given, we know that $\lambda(t) = e^t/(t^2 + 1)$. Hence

$$b(\gamma(t)) = \frac{t^2 + 1}{e^t} \frac{1}{t+5}$$
 and $c(\gamma(t)) = \frac{t^2 + 1}{e^t} \cos t, \quad t \in [0,\infty).$

6. (10 points) The **Laplace operator** \triangle has the effect of **averaging** (there are essentially infinitely many ways to see this). To realize this, you are required to do the following problem. Assume $u(x_1, ..., x_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function and let

$$f_{\sigma}(t) := u(t\sigma), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty), \tag{17}$$

where σ is an unit vector in \mathbb{R}^n , i.e., $|\sigma| = 1$, $\sigma \in S^{n-1}$. Show that we have the **average** formula

$$\frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|} \int_{S^{n-1}} f_{\sigma}''(0) \, d\sigma \text{ (this is surface integral on } S^{n-1}) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\Delta u \right) (0) \,, \tag{18}$$

where $|S^{n-1}|$ is the surface area of S^{n-1} .

Solution: We have

$$\begin{aligned} f'_{\sigma}(0) &= Du(0) \cdot \sigma, \qquad f'_{\sigma}(t) = Du(t\sigma) \cdot \sigma \\ f''_{\sigma}(0) &= \left. \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right|_{x=0} \sigma_i \sigma_j \quad (\text{sum over } i, \ j), \qquad \sigma = (\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n) \in S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} f_{\sigma}''(0) \, d\sigma = \frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle A\sigma, \sigma \rangle \, d\sigma \tag{19}$$

where $n\omega_n$ is the surface measure of S^{n-1} and $A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the linear map given by $Ax = Mx, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where

$$M = \left[\left. \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right|_{x=0} \right] = \text{the symmetric Hessian matrix of } u \text{ at } 0.$$
(20)

Viewing Ax as a vector field on \mathbb{R}^n , we have

$$div (Ax) = trace A = \Delta u(0).$$

Applying the divergence theorem to (19), we get

$$\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle A\sigma, \sigma \rangle \, d\sigma = \frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_B div \ (Ax) \, dx = \frac{1}{n\omega_n} \left(\bigtriangleup u \left(0 \right) \right) \omega_n. \tag{21}$$

where ω_n is the volume of the unit ball $B = B_1(0)$ in \mathbb{R}^n . From (21), we conclude the average formula

$$\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} f_{\sigma}''(0) \, d\sigma = \frac{1}{n} \left(\Delta u \right)(0) \,, \qquad f_{\sigma}\left(t \right) = u\left(t\sigma \right). \tag{22}$$

- 7. (10 points)
 - (a) (5 points) Let $U \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary and let $U_T = U \times (0,T] \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $\Gamma_T = \overline{U_T} U_T$ (Γ_T is the space-time parabolic boundary of U_T). Consider the parabolic initial/boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u = f \quad \text{in} \quad U_T \\ u = g \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_T \end{cases}$$
(23)

where f(x,t) and g(x,t) are given smooth functions on $\overline{U_T}$ and $\overline{\Gamma_T}$ respectively. Use "energy method" to show that (23) has at most one solution u in the space $C^2(\overline{U_T})$.

(b) (5 points) Consider the nonlinear parabolic PDE

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u = h(u) & \text{in } U_T \\ u = g & \text{on } \Gamma_T \end{cases}$$
(24)

where $h(z) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a given smooth function and we assume that the boundary function g(x,t) = g(x) is independent of time. Assume that $u \in C^2(\overline{U_T})$ is a solution to (24). Show that the functional

$$E(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{U} |\nabla u(x,t)|^2 dx - \int_{U} H(u(x,t)) dx, \quad H'(z) = h(z)$$
(25)

is decreasing in $t \in [0, \infty)$. We call it a **Lyapunov functional** for the parabolic equation (24).

Solution:

(a). It suffices to such that the only solution $w \in C^2(\overline{U_T})$ to the following

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w - \Delta w = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad U_T \\ w = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_T \end{cases}$$

is the zero solution $w \equiv 0$. For each $t \in (0, T]$, we compute

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{U}w^{2}\left(x,t\right)dx = \int_{U}2w\left(x,t\right)\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\left(x,t\right)dx = \int_{U}2w\left(x,t\right)\Delta w\left(x,t\right)dx = -2\int_{U}\left|\nabla w\left(x,t\right)\right|^{2}dx \le 0,$$

where in the last equality we have used the divergence theorem and the fact that w = 0 on Γ_T . Hence

$$\int_{U} w^2(x,t) \, dx \le \int_{U} w^2(x,0) \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in (0,T]$$

and so $w(x,t) \equiv 0$ for all $(x,t) \in \overline{U_T}$.

(b). We first compute

$$E'(t) = \int_{U} \nabla\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right) \cdot \nabla u dx - \int_{U} h(u)\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right) dx.$$

By the divergence theorem we have

$$0 = \int_{U} div \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \nabla u\right) dx = \int_{U} \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right) \cdot \nabla u dx + \int_{U} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right) \Delta u dx,$$

where the first equality is due to the identity

$$\int_{U} div \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \nabla u\right) dx = \int_{\partial U} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \left(\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}_{out}\right) d\sigma, \quad t \in (0, T]$$

and the fact

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\left(x,t\right) = \frac{\partial g\left(x\right)}{\partial t} = 0, \quad x \in \partial U.$$

Now we have

$$E'(t) = -\int_{U} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right) \Delta u dx - \int_{U} h(u) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right) dx = -\int_{U} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{2} dx \le 0.$$

The proof is done.

8. (10 points) Let L be a second order linear operator of the form

$$Lu = \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x,t) u - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \quad (x,t) \in U_T = U \times (0,T],$$

where U is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n and all coefficients are continuous on \overline{U}_T . We assume that the equation is uniformly parabolic in the sense that there exists a constant $\theta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x,t) \xi_i \xi_j \ge \theta |\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \forall (x,t) \in U_T.$$

The classical maximum principle says that if $u \in C_1^2(U_T) \cap C^0(\overline{U}_T)$ satisfies Lu = 0 in U_T , and c(x,t) satisfies the sign condition $c \leq 0$ in U_T , then

$$\max_{\bar{U}_T} |u| \le \max_{\Gamma_T} |u|, \qquad (26)$$

where $\Gamma_T = \overline{U_T} - U_T$ is the space-time parabolic boundary of U_T . Show that if we have replace the condition by $c \leq \varepsilon$ in U_T ($\varepsilon > 0$ is a constant), then (26) becomes

$$\max_{\bar{U}_T} |u| \le e^{\varepsilon T} \cdot \max_{\Gamma_T} |u|.$$
(27)

Hint: consider v(x,t) = f(t) u(x,t) for some suitable function f(t).

Solution:

Let $v(x,t) = e^{-\varepsilon t}u(x,t)$, then v satisfies

$$\tilde{L}v = 0, \quad \tilde{L} = L - \varepsilon$$

The \tilde{c} for \tilde{L} satisfies $\tilde{c}(x,t) \leq 0$ in U_T . Hence

$$e^{-\varepsilon T} \max_{\bar{U}_T} |u| \le \max_{\bar{U}_T} |v| = \max_{\Gamma_T} |v| \le \max_{\Gamma_T} |u|.$$

9. (10 points) The Maxwell equations are given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{E}_t = curl \ \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B}_t = -curl \ \mathbf{E} \\ div \ \mathbf{B} = div \ \mathbf{E} = 0, \end{cases}$$
(28)

where $\mathbf{E}(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) : \mathbb{R}^3 \times (-\infty, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ and the same for $\mathbf{B}(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$. Show that if $\mathbf{E} = (E^1, E^2, E^3)$ and $\mathbf{B} = (B^1, B^2, B^3)$ solve the Maxwell equations, then u satisfies the wave equation

$$u_{tt}(x,t) - \Delta u(x,t) = 0, \quad x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$$
(29)

where $u = E^i$ or B^i (i = 1, 2, 3).

Solution:

For convenience, just look at $u = E^1$. We have

$$E_t^1 = \frac{\partial B^3}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial B^2}{\partial x_3}$$

and then

$$\begin{split} E_{tt}^{1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} B_{t}^{3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} B_{t}^{2} \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} \left(\frac{\partial E^{2}}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial E^{1}}{\partial x_{2}} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} \left(\frac{\partial E^{3}}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial E^{1}}{\partial x_{3}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^{2} E^{1}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} E^{1}}{\partial x_{3}^{2}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial E^{2}}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} \frac{\partial E^{3}}{\partial x_{1}} \\ &= \frac{\partial^{2} E^{1}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} E^{1}}{\partial x_{3}^{2}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \left(\frac{\partial E^{2}}{\partial x_{2}} + \frac{\partial E^{3}}{\partial x_{3}} \right) \quad \text{(note that } div \ \mathbf{E} = 0) \\ &= \frac{\partial^{2} E^{1}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} E^{1}}{\partial x_{3}^{2}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \left(- \frac{\partial E^{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \right) = \Delta E^{1}. \end{split}$$

The proof is done.